

MIKE BUSCH

# Critical Component Failures

Reliability-Centered Maintenance, Part 3

**TO PROPERLY APPLY RELIABILITY-CENTERED** maintenance (RCM) principles to the maintenance of our piston aircraft engines, we need to analyze the failure modes and failure consequences of each major component part of those engines.

Last month, we looked at the issue of catastrophic failures of piston aircraft engines and saw that the predominant risk of such failures is greatest when the engine is young, not when it's old. This month, we'll examine the critical components of these engines, how they fail, what consequences those failures have on engine operation and safety of flight, and what sort of maintenance actions we can take to deal with those failures effectively and cost-efficiently.

# CRANKSHAFT

It's hard to think of a more serious piston engine failure mode than a crankshaft failure. If it fails, the engine quits.

Yet crankshafts are rarely replaced at overhaul. Lycoming says its crankshafts often remain in service for more than 14,000 hours and 50 years. Teledyne Continental Motors (TCM) hasn't published this sort of data, but TCM crankshafts probably have similar longevity.

Crankshafts fail in three ways: 1) infant-mortality failures due to improper material or manufacture; 2) failures following unreported prop strikes; and 3) failures secondary to oil starvation and/or bearing failure.

We've seen a rash of infant-mortality crankshaft failures in recent years. Both TCM and Lycoming have had major recalls of crankshafts that were either forged from bad steel or were physically damaged during manufacture. Those failures invariably occurred within the first 200 hours after a newly manufactured crankshaft entered service. If a crankshaft survives the first 200 hours, we can be confident that it was manufactured correctly and should perform reliably for multiple TBOs.

Unreported prop strikes seem to be getting rare because owners and mechanics are becoming smarter about the high risk of



operating an engine after a prop strike. Both TCM and Lycoming state that any incident that damages the propeller enough that it has to be removed for repair warrants an engine teardown inspection. This applies even to prop damage that occurs when the engine isn't running. Insurance will pay for the teardown and any necessary repairs, no questions asked, so it's a no-brainer.

That leaves us with failures due to oil starvation and/or bearing failure. We'll talk about these when we look at oil pumps and bearings.

### CRANKCASE

Crankcases are also rarely replaced at major overhaul, and they often provide reliable service for many TBOs. If the case stays in service long enough, it will eventually crack. The good news is that case cracks propagate slowly, so a detailed annual visual inspection is sufficient to detect such cracks before they pose a threat to safety. Engine failures caused by case cracks are extremely rare.

# CAMSHAFT AND LIFTERS

The cam/lifter interface endures more pressure and friction than any other moving parts in the engine. The cam lobes and lifter faces must be hard and smooth in order to function and survive. Even tiny corrosion pits (caused by disuse or acid buildup in the oil) can lead to rapid destruction (spalling) of the cam and lifters and dictate the need for a premature teardown. This is the number one reason that engines fail to make TBO. This problem mainly affects owner-flown airplanes because they tend to fly irregularly and sit unflown for weeks at a time.

Camshaft and lifter problems seldom cause catastrophic engine failures. The engine will continue to make power even with severely spalled cam lobes that have lost a lot of metal, although there is some small loss of power. Typically, the problem is discovered when the oil filter is cut open and found to be full of metal.

If the oil filter isn't cut open and inspected on a regular basis, the cam and lifter failure may progress undetected to the point that ferrous metal circulates through the oil system and contaminates the engine's bearings. In rare cases, this can cause catastrophic engine failure. A program of regular oil-filter inspection and oil analysis will prevent such failures.

If the engine is flown regularly, the cam and lifters can remain in pristine condition for thousands of hours. Some overhaul shops routinely replace the cam and lifters with new ones at major overhaul, but other shops use reground cams and lifters. Most knowledgeable engine experts agree that properly reground cams and lifters are just as reliable as new ones.

# GEARS

The engine has lots of gears: crankshaft and camshaft gears, oil pump and fuel pump drive gears, magneto and accessory drive gears, prop governor drive gears, and sometimes alternator drive gears. These gears typically have a long useful life and are not usually replaced at major overhaul unless obvious damage is found. Gears rarely cause catastrophic engine failures.

# OIL PUMP

Failure of the oil pump is occasionally responsible for catastrophic engine failures. If oil pressure is lost, the engine will seize quite quickly. The oil pump is simple, consisting of two gears in a close-tolerance housing, and it usually runs trouble-free. When trouble does occur it usually starts making metal long before complete failure occurs. Regular oil-filter inspection and oil analysis will normally detect oil pump problems long before the pump reaches the failure point.

# BEARINGS

Bearing failure is responsible for a significant number of catastrophic engine failures. Under normal circumstances, bearings have a long useful life. They are always replaced at major overhaul, but it's quite typical for bearings that are removed at The Specialists in LIGHT SPORT, HEAVY FUN HAVE A LSA FOR YOU! 2600 Cessna Lane Kennesaw, GA 30144 770,427,6311 www.hansenairgroup.com



80/100 hp Rotax 912 550 lb useful load Adjustable Seats Options: Tailwheel, Floats, Folding wings, Glider towing, Adaptive hand controls

80/100 hp Rotax 912 100-117 kt cruise Adjustable pedals Over 650 nm range Options: Tailwheel, Glider towing, Glass cockpit

100 hp Rotax 912 Outstanding Visibility 4.5 + hr endurance Adjustable pedals Options: Adaptive hand controls, Atmospheric research

FLIGHT GUIDE HAS THE SOLUTION FOR THE ACTIVE PILOT!

IGHT GUIDE GOES IFR FLIGHT GUIDE eBOOK For Portable Electronic Readers



What's better than having *Flight Guide* airport data in your cockpit? How about *Flight Guide* airport data and IFR approach plates integrated with each airport! Introducing *Flight Guide eBooks* now with *IFR PilotPlates* available for your portable *Sony and Kindle DX Readers!* Buy all three regions of both *Flight Guide eBooks* & *IFR Pilot Plates*, and together you will have yourself a suitcase full of printed IFR & VFR airport data, in one portable light weight digital reader, for a fraction of the cost!

To order go to www.flightguide.com/sa • Airguide Publications, Inc. • 800 FLY-FLY1 (359-3591)

# **MIKE BUSCH**

overhaul to be in excellent (sometimes even pristine) condition with little measurable wear. Bearings fail prematurely for three reasons: 1) they become contaminated with metal from some other failure; 2) they become oil-starved when oil pressure is lost; or 3) they become oil-starved because the bearing shells shift position in the crankcase saddles to the point where the bearings' oil supply holes become misaligned ("spun bearing").

Contamination failures can be prevented by using a full-flow oil filter and inspecting the filter for metal on a regular basis. So long as the filter is changed before its filtering capacity is exceeded, particles of wear metals will be caught by the filter and won't contaminate the bearings. If significant metal is found in the filter, the aircraft should be grounded until the source of the metal is found and corrected.

Oil-starvation failures are fairly rare. Pilots tend to be well-trained to respond to loss of oil pressure by reducing power and landing at the first opportunity. Bearings will continue to function properly even with fairly low oil pressure (for example, 10 psi).

Spun bearings experience infant-mortality failures either shortly after an engine is overhauled (assembly error) or shortly after cylinder replacement. Failures can also occur after a long period of crankcase fretting (which is detectable through oil-filter inspection and oil analysis) or after extreme cold starts without proper preheating. These are usually random failures, unrelated to hours or years since overhaul.

# CONNECTING RODS

Connecting rod failure is responsible for a significant number of catastrophic engine failures. When a rod fails in flight, it often punches a hole in the crankcase and causes loss of engine oil and subsequent oil starvation. Rod failures have also been known to result in camshaft breakage. The result is invariably a rapid loss of engine power.

Connecting rods usually have a long useful life and are not normally replaced at major overhaul. (The rod bearings, like all bearings, are always replaced at overhaul.) Many rod failures are infant-mortality failures caused by improper torque of the rod cap bolts. Rod failures can also be caused by failure of the rod bearings, and these are usually random failures unrelated to time between overhauls.

### PISTONS AND RINGS

Piston and ring failures can cause catastrophic engine failures, usually involving only partial power loss but occasionally total power loss. Piston and ring failures are of two types: 1) infant-mortality failures due to improper manufacture or installation; and 2) heat-distress failures caused by pre-ignition or destructive detonation events. Heatdistress failures can be caused by contaminated fuel or improper engine operation, but they are generally unrelated to hours or years since overhaul. A digital



A severely spalled cam lobe. This camshaft failure originated from corrosion pitting during an eightmonth period of engine disuse.

engine monitor can usually detect pre-ignition or destructive detonation episodes, allowing the pilot to take corrective action before heat-distress damage occurs.

### CYLINDERS

Cylinder failures can cause catastrophic engine failures, usually involving only partial power loss but occasionally total power loss. A cylinder has a forged steel barrel mated to an aluminum alloy head. Cylinder barrels normally wear slowly, and excessive wear is detected at annual inspection by means of compression tests and borescope inspections. However, cylinder heads can suffer fatigue failures, and occasionally the head can separate from the barrel, causing a catastrophic engine failure. Cylinder head failures can be infant-mortality related (due to improper manufacture) or age-related. Age-related failures seldom occur unless the cylinder is operated for more than two or three TBOs. Nowadays, most

major overhauls include new cylinders, so age-related cylinder failures have become quite rare.

### VALVES AND VALVE GUIDES

As I discussed last month, it is quite common for valves and guides (particularly exhaust) to develop problems well short of TBO. Valve problems can usually be detected prior to failure by means of compression tests, borescope inspections, and surveillance with a digital engine monitor (provided the pilot knows how to interpret the engine monitor data). If a valve fails completely, a significant power loss can occur.

### ROCKER ARMS AND PUSHRODS

Rocker arms and pushrods (which operate the valves) typically have a long useful life and are not routinely replaced at major overhaul. (Rocker arm bushings are always replaced at overhaul.) Rocker arm failure is quite rare. Pushrod failures are caused by stuck valves, and they can almost always be avoided through repetitive valve inspections and digital engine monitor usage as discussed earlier.

### MAGNETOS

Magneto failure is uncomfortably commonplace. Fortunately, aircraft engines are equipped with dual magnetos for redundancy, and the probability of both magnetos failing simultaneously is extremely remote. Mag checks during preflight run-up can detect gross magneto failures, but in-flight mag checks are far better at detecting subtle or incipient failures. Digital engine monitors can reliably detect magneto failures in real time if the pilot knows how to interpret the data. Magnetos should be disassembled, inspected, and serviced every 500 hours doing so drastically reduces the likelihood of an in-flight magneto failure.

# THE BOTTOM LINE

The bottom-end components of these engines—crankcase, crankshaft, camshaft, bearings, gears, oil pump, etc.—are very robust. They normally exhibit long useful lives that are many times as long as recommended TBOs. Most of these bottom-end components (with the notable exception of bearings) are reused at major overhaul and are not replaced on a routine basis. When



This photo shows the aftermath of a crank shaft failure on an IO-360. After the engine failure, the pilot safely landed the plane in a nearby field. The cam shaft broke in half and the crankcase, as you can see, was ripped apart in flight.

these items do fail prematurely, the failures are mostly infant-mortality failures that occur shortly after engine manufacture, rebuild, or overhaul, or they are random failures that are unrelated to hours or years since overhaul. The vast majority of random failures can be detected long before they get bad enough to cause catastrophic engine failure simply by means of routine oil-filter inspection and laboratory oil analysis. There seems to be no evidence that these bottom-end components exhibit any sort of well-defined steep-slope wear-out zone that would justify fixed-interval overhaul or replacement at TBO.

The top-end components—pistons, cylinders, valves, etc.—are considerably less robust. (The "top end" of a piston engine is analogous to the "hot section" of a turbine



Exhaust valves often don't survive to TBO. If we don't catch a potential exhaust valve failure using compression tests, borescope inspections, and engine monitor data, we risk a total failure ("swallowed valve").

engine.) It is not unusual for top-end components to fail prior to TBO. However, most of these failures can be prevented by regular inspections (compression tests, borescopy, etc.) and by use of digital engine monitors (by pilots who have been taught how to interpret the data). Furthermore, when potential failures are detected, the top-end components can be repaired or replaced quite easily without the need for engine teardown. Once again, the failures are mostly infant-mortality failures or random failures that do not correlate with time between overhauls.

The bottom line is that a detailed failure analysis of piston aircraft engines using RCM principles strongly suggests that what the airlines and military found to be true about turbine aircraft engines is also true of piston aircraft engines: The traditional practice of fixed-interval overhaul or replacement is counterproductive. A conscientiously applied program of on-condition maintenance that includes regular oil-filter inspections, oil analysis, compression tests, borescope inspections, and in-flight digital engine monitor usage can be expected to yield improved reliability and much-reduced maintenance expense and downtime.

Magnetos are an exception. They really need to go through a fixed-interval major maintenance cycle every 500 hours, because we have no effective means of detecting potential magneto failures without disassembly inspection.



# DON'T THEY GET IT?

It would take a lot of work for Lycoming or TCM to develop RCM-inspired maintenance programs for our airframes and engines. Frankly, they have no incentive to do this work. Even if they did, it would probably be an uphill struggle for them to get FAA approval because there's so little operational data about piston aircraft engines operated beyond current TBO recommendations (because so few of them are). The Resnikoff Conundrum remains alive and well.

Fortunately, as Part 91 operators, we don't have to overhaul our engines at the manufacturer's recommended TBO. There's nothing to prevent us from implementing our own RCM-inspired maintenance protocols and maintaining our engines and airframes on-condition rather than on-time. I've been doing this for decades with my own piston airplanes and have achieved absolutely outstanding dispatch reliability coupled with drastically reduced maintenance expense. Both engines on my 1979 Cessna T310R are presently nearly 200 percent of TBO and still operating flawlessly. In 23 years of flying and maintaining my airplane, I've actually logged more post-TBO engine time than pre-TBO engine time. (And by the way, none of the insurance carriers I've used over those 23 years—USAIG, AIG, and Starr—have the slightest problem with any of this.)

Sometimes it's hard to persuade mechanics that it's safe, sensible, and prudent to continue an apparently healthy engine in service well beyond recommended TBO. A friend recently had a shop refuse to sign off the annual inspection on his airplane because the engine was 300 hours past TBO. The shop even refused to help the owner obtain a ferry permit so he could fly the airplane to another shop to get a second opinion! The result was both emotionally and financially stressful for the owner. The engine was torn down by a big-name overhaul shop that found it to be in pristine condition with nothing to suggest that it couldn't have operated safely for another 1,000 hours.

There's an important lesson here: If you believe strongly in on-condition maintenance and your engine is "mature," you'd be wise to explore the subject of on-condition maintenance and past-TBO operation with your inspection-authorized airframe and powerplant mechanic *before* you authorize him or her to do an annual or 100-hour inspection. If you find a maintenance philosophy different from yours, you might be wise to choose another mechanic with inspection authorization to do the inspection.

Mike Busch, EAA 740170, National Aviation Maintenance Technician of the Year for 2008, has been a pilot for more than 44 years and has logged 7,000 hours. He is a certificated flight instructor and an airframe and powerplant mechanic with inspection authorization. Questions for Mike may be e-mailed to mike.busch@savvyaviator.com.

# April 8 – 11, 2010 The Global Show for General Aviation EDNY: N 47 40.3 E 009 30.7 Your Destination Understand Www.aero-expo.com