

COMMENTARY / SAVVY AVIATOR

My \$.02 on \$6 Avgas

How much do gas prices affect your flying? BY MIKE BUSCH

FIGURE 1: 40-YEAR PRICE HISTORY OF 100LL

MY 1979 T310R is equipped with a Shadin fuel totalizer, which—like my fuel gauges—is calibrated in pounds of fuel (rather than gallons). So when the price of 100LL reached \$6 per gallon, I half-jokingly turned to a pilot friend who was flying with me and explained that those fuel quantity and fuel flow indications were displayed in dollars and dollars per hour, respectively. (I say half-jokingly because I was also half-crying.)

When I bought the airplane in 1987, avgas cost around two bucks a gallon, and topping off my twin for a long trip typically cost me around \$300 (which seemed bad enough at the time). Today that same top-off costs me \$900, which is enough to make me seriously question how long I can continue to do this.

PRICES IN PERSPECTIVE

In trying to wrestle with this issue, I spent some time researching fuel prices on the Internet, and what I found out was startling and somewhat counterintuitive. Take a close look at the 40-year history of 100LL prices that I put together in Figure 1.

Pretty interesting: While nominal 100LL prices rose from around 75 cents a gallon in 1972 to the current six bucks a gallon, the real inflation-adjusted price (in today's dollars) has pretty much remained in a \$4 to \$6



A history of 100LL prices over the past 40 years. The lower line shows "nominal" prices, while the upper line shows "real" prices adjusted for inflation. But even at its worst (like now), it appears that peak 100LL prices have been only about 150 percent of historical norms when viewed in real inflationadjusted terms.

range over the past four decades. It has hovered around \$4 per gallon for most of that time, but has had three very obvious spikes up toward \$6 per gallon: in the early 1980s (around the time of the Iraq-Iran war); in 2008 (just before the housing and stock markets fell off the cliff); and a third that has been developing over the past couple of years. But even at its worst (like now), it appears that peak 100LL prices have been only about 150 percent of historical norms when viewed in real inflation-adjusted terms.

So while \$900 for a fill-up still feels pretty shocking to me, I take some solace in that it's not quite as shocking as it seems at first blush. Even if fuel prices were down at historical lows (around \$4 per gallon in today's dollars), that fill-up would still cost around \$600.

I'm trying to find some solace in that, although I'm not finding it easy.

FUEL COST MULTIPLIER

About 20 years ago, some wise aviation guru (I can't remember who) taught me a useful rule of thumb that went something like this: "The total annual cost of aircraft ownership is typically three to four times the annual cost of fuel, depending on how much the airplane flies each year." I've used that rule of thumb many times over the years, and was always amazed at how close it came to being accurate.

Solid IFR...



...with confidence.

Its not just the crystal clear synthetic vision, the comprehensive autopilot coupling, vertical steering, flight director, or hundreds of other details that makes GRT Avionics the perfect choice for IFR flight. It is the confidence that comes from hundreds of thousands of hours of proven performance. Designed by experienced aerospace engineers. IFR proven. Expect nothing less from GRT.

- Primary Flight Display with synthetic vision
- Moving Map with shaded relief terrain
- Graphical Engine Monitor with EGT history
- Dual AHRS Auto-cross check. No degradation with loss of an AHRS; no need to revert to partial panel backup.
- Synthetic Approach with highway-in-the-sky to any runway
- Extensive Lateral and Vertical autopilot coupling expands autopilot functionality.
- 8 serial ports in/out, 8/14 analog inputs/outputs, ARINC 429 for today and built-in growth for tomorrow.



MIKE BUSCH



When 100LL hit \$6.00/gallon, my fuel totalizer (calibrated in pounds) started reading out in dollars.

Just for giggles, I decided to check again to see if that multiplier would still be accurate today for a piston twin like the one I fly. I thought perhaps it would not, given that 100LL prices in real terms are about 50 percent higher today then they have been historically.

Halfway through my analysis, it occurred to me that I fly my airplane less when the cost of fuel is high than I do when it is low. That seems like a normal human responsewhat economists call "price elasticity of demand"-and I rather suspect most other aircraft owners are in the same boat. During most of the time I've owned my twin, I've flown it between 150 and 200 hours a year. But during times like now when real fuel cost is at historically high levels-and more importantly, feels painful-I'm flying only around 100 hours a year. That means that my fixed costs (like insurance, hangar, and recurrent training) represent a larger portion of my total cost than variable costs (like fuel and oil changes).

I put all this into a spreadsheet (see Figure 2) and it sure looks to me as if the "three times" rule still holds true.

FUEL COST PER MILE

I also took a quick look at fuel cost per hour, per mile, and per seat-mile for various kinds of piston aircraft (see Figure 3). The only thing that told me is what I already knew: It's really painful to fly a piston twin in a world of \$6/gallon avgas.

Right, Mac? (Mac McClellan flies a Baron, which suggests he must be nearly as certifiable as I am.)

Bottom line of all this is that the true impact of \$6 avgas is less than I originally thought when put into proper inflationadjusted context. Yes, it hurts. But no, it doesn't hurt enough for me to quit flying or sell my airplane. I feel so much better now.

WHAT IF 100LL GOES AWAY?

Over the past year or so, there seems to be an awful lot of hysteria in the aviation media and





at aviation gatherings about the prospect of 100LL going away altogether, due to the EPA and "tree-huggers" being committed to exterminate anything that puts lead in the atmosphere. While \$6 avgas is painful, it sure is a lot better than no avgas at all.

While it's true that the EPA has lowered its threshold of how much atmospheric lead is acceptable by a factor of 10, it is not yet clear exactly what the EPA intends to do to enforce the new standard, or even whether leaded avgas contributes a meaningful amount of lead compared with industrial sources of pollution. (A coalition of GA alphabet groups says that 100LL produces less than 1 percent of the lead air pollution in the United States.)

There has been a huge amount of confusing and conflicting information on this subject, and a full treatment would require more pages than EAA has allotted me, not to mention more intelligence and research work than I'm able to muster at the moment.

Although I fly a turbocharged twin that absolutely, positively requires nothing less than 100-octane aviation

It's amazing how often total cost of aircraft ownership turns out to be around three times the cost of fuel.

		COSTS
FIGURE 2:	ANNUAL	CUSIS

FUEL PRICE (\$/GAL)		\$6.00	\$5.00	\$4.00
HOURS FLOWN/YEAR		100	125	170
FUEL	\$6.00/gal @ 30 gal/hr	\$18,000	\$18,750	\$20,400
ANNUAL	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000
ROUTINE MAINTENANCE	\$20/hour	\$2,000	\$2,500	\$3,400
ENGINE OH RESERVE	2 x \$50.000 per 1500 hrs	\$6,667	\$8,333	\$11,333
PROP OH RESERVE	2 x \$4,000 per 1500 hrs	4,000 per 1500 hrs \$533		\$907
INSURANCE	\$5,000/year	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000
HANGAR	\$500/month	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6,000
RECURRENT TRAINING	\$5,000/year	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000
TOTAL ANNUAL COST:	\$53,200	\$56,250	\$62,040	
MULTIPLE OF FUEL COST:		3.0	3.0	3.0



Won't fade. Won't wash off. Won't go away.

Seen whenever a new RV flies.

Now occurring an average of eleven times a week at airports all over the world.

VAN'S AIRCRAFT, INC. 14401 Keil Rd NE, Aurora OR 97002 503-678-6545 www.vansaircraft.com



FIGNING IN CROCEDES Clayton Taylor

A modern day pilot mysteriously finds himself onboard a Pan Am Clipper. The same Clipper his grandfather was flying when it disappeared in 1938!

Available through Amazon, Bames and Noble and other fine booksellers. Please visit my website at: claytontaylorspen.com

MIKE BUSCH

FIGURE 3: FUEL COST

FIGURE 5, FOEL COST											
Aircraft Type	Knots	HP	GPH	Fuel Cost/ Gal	Fuel Cost/ Hr	Fuel Cost/ NM	Seats	Fuel Cost/Seat/ NM			
LPSE (e.g., 182)	130	230	11	\$4.00	\$44.00	\$0.34	4	\$0.08			
HPSE (e.g., T210)	170	285	14	\$4.00	\$56.00	\$0.33	6	\$0.05			
Twin (e.g., 340)	195	570	30	\$4.00	\$120.00	\$0.62	6	\$0.10			
LPSE (e.g., 182)	130	230	11	\$6.00	\$65.00	\$0.51	4	\$0.13			
HPSE (e.g., T210)	170	285	14	\$6.00	\$84.00	\$0.49	6	\$0.08			
Twin (e.g., 340)	195	570	30	\$6.00	\$180.00	\$0.92	6	\$0.15			

Fuel cost per hour, per mile, and per seat-mile for various kinds of piston aircraft.

gasoline, I seem to be a lot less worried about the impending disappearance of 100LL than many other aircraft owners I've spoken to.

From what I've read, there's essentially no chance that 100LL will go away before 2017. (By then I'll be 73 years old and fuel availability will probably be the least of my worries.)

On September 14, 2011, the FAA issued SAIB NE-11-55 announcing that a specification has been developed for a new grade of aviation gasoline called 100VLL (very low lead), that 100VLL will soon be added to the ASTM 910 specification for avgas, and that 100VLL will be acceptable for use in all engines certified for use on 80, 91, 100, and 100LL fuel. Clearly the purpose of 100VLL is to reduce the time pressure for converting to completely unleaded fuel, increasing the probability that leaded avgas won't go away by 2017 (we hope).

There appears to be at least four viable solutions for operating our highcompression and turbocharged piston aircraft engines on unleaded fuel: G100UL, Swift Fuel 102UL, anti-knock electronic ignition (e.g., GAMI's PRISM system), and water-injection.

The EPA has gone on record as saying that it doesn't have the authority to ban 100LL, 100VLL, or any other aviation fuel, and that this authority rests with the FAA.

While \$6 avgas is painful, it sure is a lot better than no avgas at all.

The FAA has been pretty clear that it won't let leaded avgas go away until there's a viable unleaded successor.

A coalition of key industry organizations appears united in commitment to develop a transition plan that won't leave owners stranded—the Future Avgas Strategy and Transition Plan (FAST).

Considering all this, it strikes me as highly improbable that 100LL will be legislated out of existence prior to the time that a viable substitute fuel is available. To be honest, what worries me more is the possibility of a fire, explosion, or terrorist attack at the world's only plant that manufactures tetraethyl lead (Innospec Specialty Chemicals in the UK). Now that would be scary. EMA

Mike Busch, EAA 740170, was the 2008 National Aviation Maintenance Technician of the Year and has been a pilot for 44 years. He's a CFI and A&P/IA. E-mail him at *mike.busch@savvyaviator.com*. Mike also hosts free monthly online presentations as part of EAA's webinar series on the first Wednesday of each month. For a schedule, visit www.EAA.org/webinars.